ccNSO PDP(s) on retirement of ccTLDs and Review mechanism
Staging a Policy Development Process

• Stage 1
  – Starts with ccNSO Council decision
  – Description of issues, assessment of timeline, assessment whether in scope of ccNSO policy development process
  – Decision to initiate ccNSO PDP

• Stage 2
  – Starts with interim Report
  – Public input/comments
  – Ends with Final Report

• Stage 3
  – Starts with Final Report
  – ccNSO Council adoption
  – ccNSO members vote
  – Ends with submission of Board Report
Characteristics PDP

• Duration PDP determined by stage 2
  – Includes at a minimum 2 rounds (40 days) public comment
  – Analyses of issues
  – Development of recommendations
  – Minimal duration 6 months (very expedited)

• Stage 3 includes members vote on set of recommendations
  – possible two rounds (quorum rule at least 79 members need to vote),
  – minimal duration 2 months
Assumptions

• Review mechanism on decisions delegation, revocation, transfer and retirement partly dependent on output work on retirement
• PDP is organised by using WGs (not a taskforce)
• Pool of volunteers limited (other processes, expertise)
Method (1)  
Single PDP

• Charter two working groups

• Working groups to develop recommendations

• Working Group 1: Develop recommendations around retirement of ccTLDs

• Working group 2: Develop recommendations for a review mechanism for decisions on delegation, revocation, transfer and retirement of ccTLDs.

• Total package (output WG 1 and 2) subject to members vote
Method (2) two PDPs

- Launch 2 PDPs
- PDP 1 on retirement of ccTLDs
  - one working group
  - Launch first PDP on retirement
  - Launch second PDP when Final report is adopted by members
- PDP 2 on review mechanism decisions delegation, revocation, transfer and retirement of ccTLDs
  - similarly structured
  - Start date Adoption final report by members