

ccNSO PDP(s)
on retirement of ccTLDs
and
Review mechanism

Staging a Policy Development Process

- Stage 1
 - Starts with ccNSO Council decision
 - Description of issues, assessment of timeline, assessment whether in scope of ccNSO policy development process
 - Decision to initiate ccNSO PDP
- Stage 2
 - Starts with interim Report
 - Public input/comments
 - Ends with Final Report
- Stage 3
 - Starts with Final Report
 - ccNSO Council adoption
 - ccNSO members vote
 - Ends with submission of Board Report

Characteristics PDP

- Duration PDP determined by stage 2
 - Includes at a minimum 2 rounds (40 days) public comment
 - Analyses of issues
 - Development of recommendations
 - Minimal duration 6 months (very expedited)
- Stage 3 includes members vote on set of recommendations
 - possible two rounds (quorum rule at least 79 members need to vote),
 - minimal duration 2 months

Assumptions

- Review mechanism on decisions delegation, revocation, transfer and retirement partly dependent on output work on retirement
- PDP is organised by using WGs (not a taskforce)
- Pool of volunteers limited (other processes, expertise)

Method (1)

Single PDP

- Charter two working groups
- Working groups to develop recommendations
- Working Group 1: Develop recommendations around retirement of ccTLDs
- Working group 2: Develop recommendations for a review mechanism for decisions on delegation, revocation, transfer and retirement of ccTLDs.
- Total package (output WG 1 and 2) subject to members vote

Method (2)

two PDPs

- Launch 2 PDPs
- PDP 1 on retirement of ccTLDs
 - one working group
 - Launch first PDP on retirement
 - Launch second PDP when Final report is adopted by members
- PDP 2 on review mechanism decisions delegation, revocation, transfer and retirement of ccTLDs
 - similarly structured
 - Start date Adoption final report by members