Agenda

1. Background on Policy and Current ICANN Work on IGO/INGO Protections (15 min)
2. Presentation of Approach and Status of Implementation (20 min)
3. Discussion of Open Questions and Challenges (40 min)
Background
Scope of IGO/INGO Identifiers

- **Red Cross Red Crescent Movement**
  - Scope 1: "Red Cross", "Red Crescent", "Red Lion and Sun", "Red Crystal" (UN6)
  - Scope 2: 189 recognized National Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies; International Committee of the Red Cross; International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies; (English + respective national languages) ICRC, CICR, CICV, MKKK, IFRC, FICR (UN6)

- **International Olympic Committee**
  - “olympic”, “olympiad” (UN6, + German, Greek, and Korean)

- **International Governmental Organizations (IGO)**
  - Scope 1: [GAC List (22 March 2013)] - Full Name (Up to two languages)
  - Scope 2: [GAC List (22 March 2013)] - Acronym (Language: Up to two languages)

- **International Non-Governmental Organizations (INGO)**
  - Scope 1: [ECOSOC List], General Consultative Status (English only)
  - Scope 2: [ECOSOC List], Special Consultative Status (only)
Recent ICANN work on IGO/INGOs Protections

- Protection of IGO/INGO Identifiers in All gTLDs
- PDP WG
  - 20 Nov. 2013 GNSO Council Resolution
  - 30 Apr. 2014 Board Resolution
  - 5 June 2014 GNSO Resolution Initiation of PDP
- Consideration of IGO/INGO Identifiers Protection Policy Recommendations Inconsistent with GAC Advice (NGPC, GAC, GNSO)
- IGO/INGO Identifiers Protection Policy Implementation (GDD, IRT)
- IGO/INGO Curative Rights Protection Mechanism PDP WG (GNSO)
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Adopted Recommendations

Top Level Protections & Exception Procedures

- **RCRC Scope 1**
  - Full Name Reservation
- **IOC Names**
  - Name Reservation

Second Level Protections & Exception Procedures

- **RCRC Scope 1**
  - Full Name Reservation
- **IOC Names**
  - Name Reservation
- **IGOs Scope 1**
  - Full Name Reservation
- **INGOs G. List**
  - Full Name Reservation
- **INGOs C. List**
  - 90 day claims

Under Reconciliation

- **RCRC Scope 2**
  - No protection vs. Reservation
- **RCRC Scope 2**
  - 90 days claim vs. reservation
- **IGOs Scope 2**
  - 90 days vs. Perm. claims
Scope of this IRT - Currently

20 Nov. 2013
GNSO Council Resolution

30 Apr. 2014
Board Resolution

Today

IGO/INGO Identifiers Protection Policy Implementation (GDD, IRT)

Consideration of IGO/INGO Identifiers Protection Policy Recommendations Inconsistent with GAC Advice (NGPC, GAC, GNSO)
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GNSO Resolution Initiation of PDP

Protection of IGO/INGO Identifiers in All gTLDs PDP WG

Policy Development
Board Consideration
Policy Implementation
Focus of Current Implementation Work

Adopted Recommendations

Top Level Protections & Exception Procedures

- RCRC Scope 1 Full Name Reservation
- IOC Names Name Reservation

Second Level Protections & Exception Procedures

- RCRC Scope 1 Full Name Reservation
- IOC Names Name Reservation
- IGOs Scope 1 Full Name Reservation
- INGOs G. List Full Name Reservation

Under Reconciliation

- RCRC Scope 2 No protection vs. Reservation
- RCRC Scope 2 90 days claim vs. reservation
- IGOs Scope 2 90 days vs. Perm. claims
Approach & Current Status of Implementation
Implementation Review Team

- Call for Volunteers sent to PDP WG mailing list, RySG, and RrSG - July 2015

- Volunteers to date
  - 4 Registry representatives (3 organizations)
  - 6 IPC Representatives (4 organizations)
  - Participation remains open to anyone interested

- Expected input from the IRT over the course of the implementation
  - Review of draft procedures and draft policy language
  - Contributions on Open Issues and Challenges
  - Review of lists of Identifier labels
  - Review of draft Response to public comments (eventually)

- Resources available for IRT work
  - Mailing list, conference calls and face to face meetings with Staff
  - Monthly Meetings, generally on Tuesdays at 16:00 UTC
  - Workspace: https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=54694212
Implementation Deliverables

- **Consensus Policy** – **Outline Draft Released for discussion**
  - Draft Consensus Policy Language for IRT review
  - Public Comment Period
  - Final Consensus Policy Language

- **Implementation Procedures** – *Currently drafting*
  - Top-level reservation and exception procedure
  - 2\textsuperscript{nd} Level reservation and exception procedure
  - 2\textsuperscript{nd} Level 90-claims implementation procedures

- **Lists of Identifier Labels & other pre-requisite for implementation**
  - RCRC Scope 1 identifiers – *Almost Complete (quality issues)*
  - IOC identifiers – *Complete*
  - IGOs Scope 1 identifiers – *Challenged (missing add. 2 languages)*
  - INGOs identifiers - *Challenged (non responsiveness)*
  - INGOs Contact Data - *Challenged (non responsiveness)*
Please Refer to document: iiip-draft-consensus-policy-v1-19oct15.docx/pdf

EDURE of the Document
- Protection at the Top-Level
- Protection at the Second-Level – Reserved Names
- Protection at the Second-Level – Claims Services
- Lists of Protected Identifiers

Please Note:
- The policy seeks protection of identifiers; Implementation of these protections will require a defined list of DNS labels
- Identifiers related to GNSO Recommendations that are being reconciled are not included in the Draft, only placeholders
Draft Consensus Policy Outline

List of current open items

- §1.1 Alignment of reservation at the top-level with intent of the Policy
- §1.2 Documentation standards for exception procedure at the top-level

- §2 Implementation of exception procedure at the 2nd Level
  (PDP Final Report §3.7, 3.8)

- §3 Implementation of Claims Service for INGO identifiers

- §4.1 Identifiers-to-labels matching rules
- §4.5 Definition of DNS labels specification for IGO/INGO
- 4.6 Maintenance of protected identifiers lists (PDP Final Report §3.4)
Discussion
Draft Consensus Policy Outline
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Consideration for IRT in Policy Recommendations

Proposed Options for Exception Procedure at the 2\textsuperscript{nd} Level [Final Report §3.8 p.27]

- **Goal**: Where a prospective registrant claims a legitimate interest in a protected name, provide a procedure to determine whether registration should proceed.

- **Principles**
  - notification to applicant and protected organization upon request
  - channel of communication between applicant and protected organization
  - objective, expeditious, and inexpensive determination on legitimate interest of applicant

- **Option 1**: Conditional Refusal > Declaration by applicant to registry > 10 days for protected org. to object > independent examination

- **Option 2**: Entity allowed to register a protected name if it commits to prevent confusion with IGO/INGO Identifier

- **Notable Public comments**:
  - RySG in support of Option 2, not Option 1
  - ALAC: doubts on effectiveness, procedures must be inexpensive and fast
  - Valideus: should reflect co-existence principles for legitimate third party use
Consideration for IRT in Policy Recommendations

gTLDs delegated prior to 2012: 2nd Level Protection only [Final Report §3.7 p.25]

- Names matching a protected identifier under the Policy shall:
  - Be reserved from registration if not already registered
  - Become non transferable if registered before policy cutoff date
  - Become ineligible for registration after expiration (and termination of relevant grace periods) if registered before policy cutoff date
- A mechanism to guard against front running should be defined

Protection of INGO Identifiers (Claims Services) [Final Report §3.4 p.15]

- How will ICANN be notified of changes to the ECOSOC List?
- How is the protection implemented when a string exceeds 63 characters?
- How will contact information be acquired and validated for bulk entry?
Current Issues and Challenges

Policy-related

- Perimeter of protections: Identifiers vs. DNS labels
  - Final Report: consensus principle of “exact match” not further defined
  - Should protections include transliterations where relevant?

- Impact of outstanding Policy Recommendations on Claims implementation

Implementation-related

- Choice of 2 languages by IGOs on GAC List

- Management of Identifiers and Contact data for INGOs
  - List of Identifiers unavailable in processable format
  - UN ECOSOC CSONet (identified as key partner) not responsive
IGO/INGO Identifiers Protection
Policy Implementation
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